UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,775	01/16/2004	Maria Masae Kulas	CJK-14	8139
36707 CHARLES J. I	7590 04/27/2007 KIII A S		EXAMINER	
651 ORIZABA	A AVE.	GELLNER, JEFFREY L		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94132			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3643	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		. 04/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/759,775	KULAS, MARIA MASAE
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply		with the correspondence address
A SHO WHIC - Exten after s - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
Status			
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final.	
	on of Claims	•	
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. Ia) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>12-19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application	on Papers		
10) 🗌 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya on is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informality:

In line 5, "the supporting stake" lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 12, line 1, the language of "for encircling vegetation" implies that the claim is claiming the subcombination of an apparatus. However, the language of line 9 of "encircles the vegetations" implies that the claim is claiming the combination of an apparatus and vegetation. The claim is indefinite because it is not known if Applicant is claiming the combination or subcombination. For this office action Examiner considers Applicant to be claiming the subcombination of an apparatus.

Art Unit: 3643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sinning (US 1,925,467).

As to claim 12, Sinning discloses an apparatus capable of encircling vegetation with a windscreen (7 of Fig. 1), the windscreen including first and second stakes (1 of Fig. 1) attached (attached through upper 5 and 6 of Fig. 1) to an elongate flexible sheet (7 of Fig. 1), wherein the first and second stakes are perpendicular to a direction of elongation of the flexible sheet (shown in Fig. 1) and are separated by at least a portion of the flexible sheet along the direction of elongation (see Fig. 1), a supporting stake (8 of Figs. 1,2, and 4) suitable for being vertically supported by ground, the apparatus comprising first and second attachment portions (region of 1 that abuts 5 in Fig. 4 for the two stakes (1)) for attaching the first and second stakes so that the stakes are held adjacent and substantially parallel to each other, so that the flexible sheet is capable of encircling the vegetation in a substantially complete loop to form an enclosure that is substantially closed around the sides and open at the top (see Fig. 1); and, a third attachment portion (2 of Figs. 1 and 4) coupled to the first and second attachment portions (shown in Fig. 1), wherein the third attachment portion allows mounting of the apparatus to the supporting stake (through element 2, element 8 is mounted to the rest of the apparatus).

Application/Control Number: 10/759,775

Art Unit: 3643

As to claim 13, Sinning further discloses the first and second stakes above and parallel to the supporting stake (Figs. 1, 2, and 4).

As to claim 14, Sinning further discloses the stakes held substantially in-line with the supporting stake (shown in Fig. 2).

As to claim 15, the limitations of claim 12 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the apparatus integral with the supporting stake. Sinning, however, discloses that at three corners the bars are connected by bolts (from col. 2 lines 80-90). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Sinning by making the bars integral and not bolted so as to strengthen the apparatus.

As to claim 16, Sinning further discloses the apparatus removably coupled to the supporting stake (form 12 and 13' of Fig. 4).

As to claim 17, Sinning further discloses an attachment portion (23 of Fig. 1) including a hole (shown in Fig. 1 in that 23 surrounds 1) capable of receiving an end of a stake.

As to claim 18, Sinning further discloses the apparatus capable of being rolled in the direction of the flexible sheet to form a roll for storing (in that sheet and apparatus are considered a roll when collapsed).

As to claim 19, Sinning further discloses the apparatus when unrolled can be cut (sheet can be cut) in a transverse direction of the sheet during a dispensing operation.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's argument filed 5 June 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument is that Moffit does not show a ""substantially complete loop""

Application/Control Number: 10/759,775

Art Unit: 3643

or an ""an enclosure that is substantially closed around the sides and open at the top"" (Remarks page 5, middle of page). Examiner has changed to prior art that discloses these limitations.

Page 5

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Feldpausch and Dennis disclose in the prior art various apparatuses that encircle with open tops.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose telephone number is 571.272.6887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571.272.6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chilh WIN

Jeffrey L. Gellner

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3643